



NEIFELD DSC 4111 NO: CAT/34-SCRO-CCP

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT & TRADEMARK OFFICE

IN RE APPLICATION OF: Scroggie et al

USPTO CONFIRMATION CODE: 5917

APPLICATION NO: 09/505,632

FILED: 2/16/2000

EXAMINER: Akiba Robinson

GROUP ART UNIT: 3639

FOR: System and Method For Distributing Information Through Cooperative Communication
Network Sites

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313

37 CFR 1.137 PETITION TO REVIVE FOR UNINTENTIONAL ABANDONMENT

Sir:

Please revive this application.

10/12/2006 MBERNE 00000016 09505632
02 FC:1453 1500.00 0P

I. Statement of Relief Requested

The applicant requests that the Director revive this application for unintentional abandonment.

II. Material facts in Support of the Petition

1. On 3/20/2006, the USPTO mailed a paper styled an office action in this application.
2. On 5/10/2006, the applicant petitioned that the office action was improper.
3. On 10/10/2006, the undersigned checked the attorney prosecution file for this application and noted an office action mailed 3/20/2006 and no response to the office action.
4. On 10/10/2006, the undersigned noted that the attorney prosecution file copy of the petition filed 5/10/2006 contained docketing data indicating changes to the attorney docketing system, stating:

Verify PTO Receipt 5/16/2006 BMR

RAN: File petition - USA-CLEARED

RAN: Reminder - Response to OA Due 6/20/06 - USA-CLEARED

RLB: Status Check-CLEARED

RAN: Response Due (EXTENDABLE)-CLEARED

RGC: Reminder - Response Due Date of (6/20/06)-CLEARED

RGC: Reminder - Response Due Date of (6/20/06)-CLEARED

RGC: Last Date to File Office Action Response (NON-EXTENDABLE)-CLEARED

3. The "RAN: Reminder - Response to OA Due 6/20/06 - USA-CLEARED" indicates that the docketing clerk cleared the reminder to respond to the office action, improperly, when docketing filing of the petition.

4. On 10/10/2006, the undersigned prepared this petition.
5. A notice of appeal and fee for the notice of appeal accompany this petition.
6. The fee for a petition for unintentional abandonment is submitted with this petition.
7. The entire delay from date of abandonment until filing this petition was unintentional.

III. Reasons Why the Relief Requested Should be Granted

The filing of a notice of appeal is a suitable response to an office action. An appeal brief

will be filed within 2 months, or an extended time, unless the prior petition against the 3/20/2006 office action is granted. Accordingly, this petition is accompanied by a reply to the last office action. The fees for the petition and the notice of appeal are also submitted herewith. The entire delay from date of abandonment until today was unintentional. Accordingly, this petition should be granted.

10/10/2006

DATE

Respectfully Submitted,

/Richard Neifeld #35,299

Richard A. Neifeld

Attorney of Record

Registration No. 35,299

RAN

Printed: October 10, 2006

Y:\Clients\Catalina\CAT-34-SCRO\CAT34-SCRO-CCP\Drafts\Petition_061010.wpd